



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,404	02/09/2004	Harukazu Miyamoto	ASAM.0108	6600
75	90 11/15/2006	•	EXAMINER	
Stanley P. Fisher			BIBBINS, LATANYA	
Reed Smith LLI	P			
Suite 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3110 Fairview Park Drive			2627	
Falls Church, V	A 22042-4503	·	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/773,404	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		LaTanya Bibbins	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed part of this communication. The standard of this communication. The	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	• •	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinative drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in brity documents have bee fu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The "learning procedure" recited in claim 13 is not described in the specification as to what is performed therein. Hence, there would be undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to know how to make and use the invention. In the interest of compact prosecution, the examiner will interpret claim 13 as an information recording medium control method wherein said data are set as initial values with information of previously recorded control data, and optimum conditions are determined.
- 4. Claims 7-14 are objected to because claims 7 and 8 respectively recite the limitation "the relative moving speed of said energy beam" and "said relative moving

speed of said energy beam." There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations

in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. <u>Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

 <u>Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and further in view of Kobayashi</u>

 <u>et al. (US Patent Number 5,828,639).</u>

Regarding claim 1, Lee teaches an information recording medium on which information is recorded or from which recorded information is produced by irradiating with an energy beam moving on/along a track relative to said medium, wherein at a first location on said medium, data concerning a maximum linear velocity and a minimum linear velocity are recorded at a predetermined location on said medium (see paragraph [0048]). Lee, however, fails to teach an information recording medium with a second location both of which are located at different locations on said medium and that the data recorded at the predetermined location contains data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at said first location and a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2min) at said second location.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,404

Art Unit: 2627

Kobayashi, on the other hand, teaches a disc (Figure 17 element 1) with a first location and a second location both of which are located at different locations on said medium (see Figure 19 rows 1 and 2, columns 1 and 2), and data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at said first location and a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2min) at said second location (see Figure 19 rows 1 and 2, columns 9 and 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the optical disc with a plurality of zones as taught by Kobayashi with the optical disc taught by Lee. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to produce an optical disc with increased capacity (see Kobayashi column 10 lines 19-21).

Regarding claim 2, Lee teaches an information recording medium wherein said predetermined location on said medium lies in a control data zone in which data concerning said medium are recorded (see the discussion of the control data zone in paragraph [0033] and the description of the reserved regions 30c-5 and 30c-6 in paragraph [0035]).

Regarding claim 3, Lee fails to teach an information recording medium wherein at least one of undermentioned conditions is satisfied: r1<r2, and V1max<V2max or V1min<V2 min where r1 represents a radial distance of said first location from a center of said medium and r2 represents a radial distance of said second location from said center of said medium. Kobayashi, however, teaches an information recording medium

medium wherein at least one of undermentioned conditions is satisfied: r1<r2 (see Figure 19 rows 1 and 2, columns 1 and 2), and V1max<V2max or V1min<V2 min (Figure 19 rows 1 and 2, columns 9 and 10) where r1 represents a radial distance of said first location from a center of said medium and r2 represents a radial distance of said second location from said center of said medium.

Regarding claim 4, Lee fails to teach an information recording medium wherein r1<r2 and that V1min/r1<V2max/r2 are satisfied. Kobayashi, however, teaches an information recording medium wherein conditions that r1<r2 and that V1min/r1 < V2max/r2 are satisfied, where r1 represents a radial distance of said first location from a center of said medium and r2 represents a radial distance of said second location from said center of said medium (see Figure 19 rows 1 and 2, columns 1, 2, 9, and 10).

Regarding claim 5, Lee and Kobayashi fail to teach an information recording medium wherein condition that V1max<V2min is additionally satisfied. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify V1max such that it is less than V2min. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to modify the velocities in order to produce an information recording medium with data zones with distinct minimum and maximum velocities which do not overlap.

Regarding claim 6, Lee teaches an information recording medium wherein at least some of recording/reproducing conditions corresponding to said maximum linear velocities (Vmax) and said minimum linear velocities (Vmin) are recorded at said predetermined location (see Lee paragraph [0048]). Lee fails to teach that the

Art Unit: 2627

maximum and minimum velocities correspond to said first and second locations.

Kobayashi, on the other hand, teaches a disc with maximum and minimum velocities corresponding to said first and second locations in Figure 19 rows 1 and 2.

7. <u>Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and further in view of Akahira et al. (US Patent Number 5,729,513).</u>

Regarding claim 7, Lee teaches a method of controlling an information recording medium on which information is recorded or from which recorded information is reproduced by irradiating with an energy beam moving on/along a track relative to said medium, at a first location wherein data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at said first location are recorded at a predetermined location on said medium said method comprising the steps of: reproducing said data in precedence to recording or reproduction of the information (see Lee paragraph [0033] where the disc drive record data considering the prescribed recording speed recorded on the disc); Lee fails to teach a second location wherein data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at said second location, are recorded at a predetermined location on said medium, said method comprising the steps of: controlling the relative moving speed of said energy beam such that the linear velocity at said first location lies between said maximum linear velocity (V1max) and said minimum linear velocity (V1min); and controlling the relative moving speed of said energy beam such that the linear velocity

at said second location lies between said maximum linear velocity (V1max) and said minimum linear velocity (V2 min).

Akahira on the other hand teaches a disk with a first location and a second location both of which are located at different radial locations on said medium, wherein data concerning a maximum linear velocity maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at said second location, said method comprising the steps of: controlling the relative moving speed of said energy beam such that the linear velocity at said first location lies between said maximum linear velocity (V1max) and said minimum linear velocity (V1min) (see Akahira column 7 lines 1-5 where the first location is between 30 and 40 mm and the velocity at this location lies between V1max which is 7.53 m/s and V1min which is 5.65 m/s); and controlling the relative moving speed of said energy beam such that the linear velocity at said second location lies between said maximum linear velocity (V1max) and said minimum linear velocity (V2 min) (see Akahira column 7 lines 1-5 where the second location is between 40 and 50 mm and the velocity at this location is 5.65-7.07 m/s which lies between V1max which is 7.53 m/s and V1min which is 5.65 m/s).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the disk of Akahira with the controlling method of Lee. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to improve the quality of the amorphous recording marks on the optical disk (see Akahira column 3 lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 8, Akahira teaches an information recording medium control method wherein control of said relative moving speed of said energy beam is realized by controlling a rotation speed of said medium (see column 6 lines 43-57 where Akahira teaches a rotational control method and the range of linear velocity of the recording head to the recording track).

Regarding claim 15, Lee teaches an information recording method of recording information on an information recording medium by irradiating with an energy beam moving on/along a track relative to said medium, said method comprising the steps of: reading data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at a first location on said medium said data being recorded at a predetermined location on said medium (see paragraph [0048]); and recording the information on said medium by controlling a relative speed between said medium and said energy beam on the basis of said data (see paragraph [0033] where the disc drive records data considering the prescribed recording speed recorded on the disc and paragraph [0019]). Lee fails to teach reading data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at a second location on said medium, at said first and second locations both of which are located at different locations on said medium.

Akahira, however teaches data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at a first location on said medium and a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at a second location on said medium, at said first and second locations both of which are located at

different locations on said medium (see Akahira column 7 lines 1-5 where the first location is between 30 and 40 mm and the velocity at this location lies between V1max which is 7.53 m/s and V1min which is 5.65 m/s).

Regarding claim 16, Lee teaches an information reproducing method of reproducing information from an information recording medium recorded the information by irradiating with an energy beam moving on/along a track relative to said medium, said method comprising the steps of: reading data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at a first location on said medium said data being recorded at a predetermined location on said medium (see paragraph [0048]); and reproducing the information recorded on said medium by controlling a relative speed between said medium and said energy beam on the basis of said data (ssee paragraph [0021]). Lee fails to teach reading data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at a second location on said medium, at said first and second locations both of which are located at different locations on said medium.

Akahira, however, teaches data concerning a maximum linear velocity (V1max) and a minimum linear velocity (V1min) at a first location on said medium and a maximum linear velocity (V2max) and a minimum linear velocity (V2 min) at a second location on said medium, at said first and second locations both of which are located at different locations on said medium (see Akahira column 7 lines 1-5 where the first location is between 30 and 40 mm and the velocity at this location lies between V1max which is 7.53 m/s and V1min which is 5.65 m/s).

Art Unit: 2627

8. <u>Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>
over Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and Akahira et al. (US Patent
Number 5,729,513) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Chen (US
PGPub Number 2003/0123352 A1).

Regarding claim 10, Lee and Akahira teach an information recording medium control method but fail to teach that linear velocities at other locations than said first and second locations are determined through a linear interpolation. Chen, on the other hand teaches an information recording medium control method wherein the linear velocities at other locations than said first and second locations are determined through a linear interpolation between said minimum linear velocity (V1min) at said first location and said minimum linear velocity (V2min) at said second location and between said maximum linear velocity (V1max) at said first location and said maximum linear velocity (V2max) at said second location (see paragraph [0046] and Figure 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the control method of Lee and Akahira with that of Chen. In addition to utilizing the interpolation method to quickly and accurately determine the velocity, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to "quickly and acurately determine the optimum writing power" (Chen paragraph [0049]).

Regarding claim 13, Lee and Akahira teach an information recording medium control method wherein said data are set as initial values with information of previously

recorded control data (see Lee paragraphs [0033] and [0035]). Lee and Akahira fail to teach that optimum conditions are determined.

Chen, however, teaches that optimum conditions are determined (see the discussion in paragraph [0049] where the optimum writing power is determined).

9. <u>Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and Akahira et al. (US Patent Number 5,729,513) as applied to claim7 above, and further in view of Mizuno et al. (US Patent Number 6,996,052 B1).</u>

Regarding claim 11, Lee and Akahira teach an information recording medium control method wherein a constant angular velocity (CAV) control is adopted for controlling a rotation (see Akahira Figure 4), but fail to specifically teach that the information recording medium includes a reflective layer, thickness of which is gradually decreased from a radially inner side of said medium toward a radially outer side of said medium. Mizuno, however teaches an information recording medium wherein said information recording medium includes a reflective layer, thickness of which is gradually decreased from a radially inner side of said medium toward a radially outer side of said medium (column 34 lines 10-13), and wherein a constant angular velocity (CAV) control is adopted for controlling a rotation of said medium (column 33 lines 48-54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the control method of Lee and Akahira with the information recording medium of Mizuno. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2627

invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to improve the quality of the amorphous marks during recording (see the discussion in Mizuno column 33 lines 64-67 and column 34 lins 1-9).

10. <u>Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>

<u>over Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and Akahira et al. (US Patent Number 5,729,513) as applied to claim 7 and 8 above, and further in view of Sato

(US PGPub Number 2002/0064110 A1).</u>

Regarding claim 9, Lee and Akahira teach an information recording medium control method wherein a method of controlling the rotation speed for information recording is performed by constant angular velocity (CAV) control with a rotation speed (rpm) being constant (see Akahira column 6 lines 43-45). Lee and Akahira fail to teach an information recording medium control method wherein a method of controlling the rotation speed for information recording is performed by one of a control method selected from a group consisting of a constant angular velocity (CAV) control with a rotation speed (rpm) being constant, a constant linear velocity (CLV) control with a linear velocity being constant and a combination of said constant angular velocity (CAV) control and said constant linear velocity (CLV) control.

Sato, on the other hand, teaches an information recording medium control method wherein a method of controlling the rotation speed for information recording is performed by one of a control method selected from a group consisting of a constant angular velocity (CAV) control with a rotation speed (rpm) being constant, a constant

linear velocity (CLV) control with a linear velocity being constant and a combination of said constant angular velocity (CAV) control and said constant linear velocity (CLV) control, and wherein the control method to be actually employed is determined on the basis of result of reproduction of said data (see Sato paragraphs [0061] – [0064] and Figure 4 steps S11 and S16 and Figure 5 where the CAV, CLV and CAV/CLV recording methods are shown).

Page 13

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lee and Akahira with recording control methods used by Sato. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to insure that during recording the optimum recording power does not exceed the maximum output power of the laser diode (see Sato paragraph [0065]).

Regarding claim 12, Lee and Akahira fail to teach an information recording medium control method wherein a rotation of said medium is controlled through a constant angular velocity (CAV) control at a radially inner zone of said medium while being controlled through a constant linear velocity (CLV) control at a radially outer zone of said medium. Sato, however, teaches an information recording medium control method wherein a rotation of said medium is controlled through a constant angular velocity (CAV) control at a radially inner zone of said medium while being controlled through a constant linear velocity (CLV) control at a radially outer zone of said medium (see Figure 7).

Art Unit: 2627

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of lee and Akahira with that of Sato. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings because the control method of Sato is "effective in making the starting of the CAV-based recording operation at a high recording speed more reliable" (Sato paragraph [0077]).

11. <u>Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US PGPub Number 2004/0004921 A1) and Akahira et al. (US Patent Number 5,729,513) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Morishima (US PGPub Number 2003/0002409 A1).</u>

Regarding claim 14, Lee and Akahira fail to teach an information recording medium control method wherein said data are determined on the basis of jitter.

Morishima, on the other hand, teach an information recording medium control method wherein said data are determined on the basis of jitter (see Morishima paragraph [0027]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lee and Akahira with that o fMorishima. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to produce an information recording medium control method with improved precision and necessary signal processing (as described in Morishima paragraph [0027]).

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaTanya Bibbins whose telephone number is (571) 270-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LaTanya Bibbins

SUPERVISORY PATENTS